

EIU, Newton Key, His 1500, **Paper 3**, due 6 Dec. 2005 (5-6 pp., double-spaced, 12 pt font, 15%).

Compare and contrast *The Times (of London)* and *The New York Times* on either the Hungarian or Suez Crisis of 1956. Find and use (quote, analyze) at least three (3) articles from each newspaper (be sure to write down the article name, date, and page number when taking notes or copying microfilm, and cite same in your paper). How do they mirror the coverage of the other? How are they different? How might you explain (what reasons/causes?) the differences you find? Then comment on the use of history by the journalists of both newspapers. To what past events/ideas/people do they refer (either as causes of 1956 or as comparative examples)? To what past events/ideas/people might they have referred (using lecture notes, your textbook, and other online or printed secondary works) but did not? Finally, what are the strengths and weaknesses of these two newspapers as sources for historians of these two events in world history. Be sure to be specific.

You may use any articles from 1956, although our combined timeline <<http://www.ux1.eiu.edu/~cfnek/syllabi/world/hungarysuzetimeline.pdf>> suggests that the end of October and most of November would be the most active time for events in both Hungary and the Suez. (There is an index for the *Times* of London and for the *New York Times*, but it might be easier to just pick a microfilm reel for a week between late October and early December for each [*Times*, Periodical Microforms, 1000 Level North, Non-Circulating, Call Number: AN4 .T45x; *New York Times*, Periodical Microforms, 1000 Level North, Non-Circulating, Call Number: AN2 .N425x] and see what you find.)

Be brief but specific (both as to your argument and upon what reasoning it is based) in your introduction and conclusion.